

1 Television, and there may have even been National Minority  
2 Television, that needed some money for filing fees, and we  
3 were given permission to go ahead and use some of those monies  
4 for this other entity.

5 Q All right. We're going to get to the matter of  
6 permission in just a moment, but just for clarification I'd  
7 like to direct your attention to Bureau Exhibit No. 201, and  
8 that's in Volume 4. Now, Exhibit 201, Bureau Exhibit 201, is  
9 a -- an application for a construction permit for a new TV  
10 translator in Salt Lake City, and I'd like to direct your  
11 attention to page 15. Do you see that?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q Now, that -- is this an example of a time when your  
14 firm made out a, a check from the attorney's escrow account on  
15 behalf of NMTV?

16 A I, I don't know.

17 Q Whose escrow is it?

18 A This is, this is our -- the firm's attorney escrow  
19 account. It's the May and Dunne escrow account. So, that's  
20 all -- that's an account we maintain in our, in our office.  
21 But, but I thought your question was is this an example in  
22 which one client deposited into our escrow account for which  
23 we then disbursed it on behalf of somebody else so they  
24 could --

25 Q No. No.

1 A I don't know the answer --

2 Q No. My question is, is simpler than that. Is, is  
3 this an example of a time when you wrote a check drawing money  
4 from your escrow account on behalf of NMTV?

5 A Oh, yes. Yes, sir.

6 Q Okay. Now, to your knowledge, who contributed money  
7 into this account? Whose money was this \$375?

8 A I, I assume it's National Minority's money.

9 Q But there was a time earlier than this that TBN was  
10 funding the escrow account for NMTV matters?

11 A No. What I mentioned to you was that I, I recall an  
12 instance, and I don't specifically recall the date, whether it  
13 was in this '88 time-frame or whether it was after that, '90,  
14 '91, where I believe Trinity had certain monies on deposit in  
15 our escrow account. And there came a time when National  
16 Minority needed some monies or did not have filing fees in  
17 escrow with us, and we were given permission to essentially  
18 take some of the money or use the money in our escrow account  
19 on behalf of National Minority and file that application.

20 Q And that was TBN money?

21 A Oh, yes. I mean --

22 Q --

23 A -- the money had originally come as, as TBN money,  
24 as it were.

25 Q Now, how did you come to get that permission? Was

1 it in writing?

2 A I probably just picked up the phone.

3 Q And who gave you that permission?

4 A It would have been one of the Officers or Directors  
5 of the company I spoke with.

6 Q Of TBN?

7 A Yes, sir. There would have also been a correspond-  
8 ing communication with someone from National Minority to make  
9 sure that that was okay with them.

10 JUDGE CHACHKIN: That would have been Ms. Duff --

11 MR. MAY: Yes.

12 JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- presumably?

13 MR. MAY: Yes, sir.

14 JUDGE CHACHKIN: And you have copies of such corre-  
15 spondence directed to NMTV where escrow account money has been  
16 used for NMTV purposes?

17 MR. MAY: I believe there is a memorandum that's  
18 been produced in this matter that describes the disbursement  
19 of monies that have been deposited in our escrow account that  
20 describes the situation I'm now mentioning to you.

21 JUDGE CHACHKIN: I don't recall seeing such an  
22 exhibit, but perhaps your counsel's aware of it.

23 MR. SCHONMAN: I don't believe we've ever seen that.  
24 Do you -- can we inquire of Mr. --

25 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.

1 MR. SCHONMAN: -- Topel whether that was produced?

2 MR. TOPEL: I can tell you everything was produced.

3 I thought -- I recall something relating to this subject that

4 I thought was in the Bureau's exhibits.

5 JUDGE CHACHKIN: The authorization from NMTV --

6 MR. TOPEL: Well --

7 JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- to disburse funds from the TBN

8 escrow account?

9 MR. TOPEL: Or a confirmation, a confirmation back

10 that this amount of money was used for thi-- these filing

11 fees.

12 MR. SHOOK: There are some letters in --

13 JUDGE CHACHKIN: You'll get to them?

14 MR. SHOOK: -- in our materials that, that do touch

15 upon this subject. They don't necessarily go into it in the

16 detail that we're now talking about, but I know what Mr. Topel

17 is talking about. Such letters are in our materials and I

18 think there's every possibility that we'll get to them, if not

19 with --

20 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Okay.

21 MR. SHOOK: -- Mr. May, then with somebody else.

22 And we have touched upon them already.

23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.

24 BY MR. SCHONMAN:

25 Q Mr. May, can we -- let's look at your Direct

1 Testimony, Exhibit -- Trinity Exhibit 105, Tab D.

2 A I'm sorry. Tab D?

3 Q D as in David. Page 68 and page 69. And those are  
4 two bills from your firm to TBN. Do you have that before you,  
5 both of them?

6 A Yes, sir, I do.

7 Q At the bottom of each is an asterisk which says  
8 "includes National Minority TV." And the asterisk relates to  
9 a reference to TBN GEN. Can you explain what that means?

10 A Yes, sir. There's -- the TBN GEN just means TBN  
11 General, that there were certain disbursements that we made  
12 and fell to this TBN General billing code. And some of those  
13 disbursements were matters that related to National Minority.  
14 And what we were trying to do is note that some of these --  
15 that, that some of the money expended on behalf of National  
16 Minority was showing here in the TBN General category.

17 Q Mr. May, on page 69 of Tab D, now, that's a bill for  
18 services rendered January 12th through February 13, 1987. Is  
19 this the first bill that your company sent TBN that includes a  
20 charge for services rendered to NMTV?

21 A I don't think so, no, sir.

22 Q In other words, NMTV had a -- had been charged  
23 earlier than, than this date?

24 A I believe that there are some earlier statements  
25 that show work done on behalf of National Minority or

1 Television Translator.

2 Q Now, your, your firm filed those certifications, the  
3 minority and diversification certifications on behalf of TTI  
4 back in February 1984, correct?

5 A Yes, sir.

6 Q And to my knowledge, there are no, there are no  
7 bills at that time which reflect that TTI was charged for  
8 those services. And just for clarification, I can show you  
9 Bureau Exhibit 74 and 75, and that's in Volume 2. And bill  
10 number 74 -- or Bureau Exhibit 74 is a bill for services  
11 rendered January 28th through February 24, 1984, and then the  
12 next bill, which is Bureau Exhibit No. 75, is from February 27  
13 through March 23. Now, the certifications were filed on  
14 February 28, 1984, so these two bills tend to sandwich those  
15 certifications. In other words, if your firm had billed TTI  
16 for their services, I presume it would have showed up in  
17 either one of these or both of these bills. Am I correct?

18 A It, it should have, yes, sir. If we billed them  
19 anything, we sure should have put it there.

20 Q Did you bill TTI for the services you rendered with  
21 respect to the filing of the certifications?

22 A I, I don't recall that we did or didn't, frankly.

23 Q Do you recall when you started billing TTI or NMTV  
24 for the services that you rendered to those companies?

25 A Not -- no, sir, not specifically. I, I guess I can

1 provide this, that is, I know that about the time that the  
2 Odessa Assignment Application was in process being prepared,  
3 that that's when we -- you know, that's when fairly regular  
4 statements showing time charged to National Minority effec-  
5 tively began. I do believe, however, that there's an instance  
6 or two prior to that time when some time was in fact billed to  
7 them.

8 Q It's true, though, that if you billed TTI for the  
9 filing of the certifications in February 1984 that that bill  
10 would have showed up on either or both of these invoices,  
11 which are Bureau Exhibit 74 and 75?

12 A It should have, yes, sir.

13 Q And the absence of any indication of TTI in either  
14 or both of these invoices demonstrates that TTI was not  
15 billed?

16 A Yes, sir.

17 Q Was TBN billed for the filing of the certifications?

18 A I don't, I don't know.

19 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I assume you don't perform  
20 services for free.

21 MR. MAY: No, sir. I normally do not. Try not to.

22 JUDGE CHACHKIN: So, it's reasonable to assume,  
23 then, that when it says TBN General, that would have included  
24 the services performed on behalf of Translator TV, would it  
25 not?

1 MR. MAY: I don't know that that's true. I mean, I  
2 guess you could make an assumption, and I think it would be a  
3 reasonable --

4 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, where else would it, where  
5 else would it come under? What, what, what line?

6 MR. MAY: Well, there, there -- I mean, what I'm  
7 trying to communicate is that there have been instances in  
8 which I have done work for which I have not billed. That's  
9 all I mean to say. It may be possible that that's the expla-  
10 nation rather than that it was billed to some, some other  
11 account.

12 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, do you have the records in  
13 your office which show to whom -- where the ser-- where you  
14 billed for these services, sir?

15 MR. MAY: I only have these general statements now.  
16 I don't keep that kind of material back --

17 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Why? But --

18 MR. MAY: -- beyond three or four years.

19 JUDGE CHACHKIN: But you do have the general state-  
20 ments which would list what the services rendered are,  
21 wouldn't they? I, I notice these statements don't include  
22 that information. I assume your invoices -- your statements  
23 do include this information, does it not?

24 MR. MAY: Well, it includes the attorney time as to  
25 each of these line items or clerk time or disbursements, et

1 cetera, the actual money amounts.

2 JUDGE CHACHKIN: And it doesn't indicate whether it  
3 was -- for whom the services were rendered?

4 MR. MAY: It, it does by virtue of where, where it  
5 appears. If I billed attorney time for Trinity -- or TBA, it  
6 would appear here in the column next to TBA, et cetera. And  
7 if I had billed time for TTI, it would have appeared in the  
8 column as one of the items I billed time for, and then the  
9 attorney time billed to them would have been shown, clerk  
10 time, disbursements, et cetera.

11 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, you don't mean to suggest  
12 that, that you donated your services on this occasion to  
13 Translator TV but charged all your other services to the other  
14 entities listed here, do you?

15 MR. MAY: I just don't know, Your Honor. I have  
16 done that, but I don't know that in this instance.

17 JUDGE CHACHKIN: You've done it in the case of NMTV?

18 MR. MAY: Yes, sir.

19 JUDGE CHACHKIN: You've donated your services?

20 MR. MAY: Yes, sir.

21 JUDGE CHACHKIN: When did you --

22 MR. MAY: In some instances.

23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- do that?

24 MR. MAY: I've done it a number of times throughout  
25 the years.

1 JUDGE CHACHKIN: What type of services would you  
2 donate?

3 MR. MAY: Well, there may be instances in which I  
4 communicated on the telephone or talked to somebody or re-  
5 trieved something that I don't bill for.

6 JUDGE CHACHKIN: I understand that. But here you  
7 did perform an actual service. You prepared applications.

8 MR. MAY: Certifications, yes.

9 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Certifications. Now, have you ever  
10 in those type occasions, with your applications or certifica-  
11 tions filed with the Commission, have you ever neglected to  
12 bill in those -- on those occasions in -- to your knowledge?

13 MR. MAY: No, sir, but I have donated services that  
14 included preparing applications.

15 JUDGE CHACHKIN: I understand. There were phone  
16 calls and you didn't bill for something like that.

17 MR. MAY: But even submissions to the FCC, sir.  
18 That's what I'm trying to --

19 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Submissions of applications and  
20 certifications?

21 MR. MAY: Yes, sir. In certain instances I have not  
22 billed for work I have done like that.

23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Give me an example.

24 MR. MAY: There may be an application for a  
25 microwave application or a studio transmitter link or some-

1 | thing like that. It'll come to me. I will review it, put a  
2 | cover letter on it, transmit it to the Agency, and will not  
3 | bill for that.

4 | JUDGE CHACHKIN: So, in other words, what you're  
5 | telling me is, is a situation where you didn't do the work,  
6 | you just put a cover letter? You reviewed it, you put a cover  
7 | letter, and submitted it to -- your client did the work,  
8 | prepared the application for microwave, and all you did was  
9 | put a cover letter on it and sent it on to the Commission.  
10 | But where occasion where you did the work, and you indicated  
11 | you prepared these -- this, this certification, could you give  
12 | me an example where you didn't bill on that, on that type of  
13 | occasion?

14 | MR. MAY: What I mean to communicate and why I use  
15 | the microwave example is that there's a situation in which I  
16 | submitted material. It may be that my office was involved in  
17 | the preparation of that microwave application or studio trans-  
18 | mitter link or some other application that was to be filed at  
19 | the FCC. In some instances, I have not billed for that work.  
20 | But let, let me say this, I don't have any memory that I  
21 | donated the work or otherwise. I'm just saying I don't know  
22 | and I don't have the records to go back and tell me what in  
23 | fact occurred at that point in time.

24 | JUDGE CHACHKIN: Go ahead, Mr. Schonman.

25 | BY MR. SCHONMAN:

1           Q     Mr. May, can we turn to Bureau Exhibit No. 105, and  
2 that's in Volume 2? That's a bill from your firm for services  
3 rendered May 9 through June 6, 1986. Do you have that before  
4 you?

5           A     Yes, sir.

6           Q     Now, you'll notice that there's an entry for ser-  
7 vices rendered to Translator TV, Inc., and the records that,  
8 that we have indicate that this is the, the first bill. This  
9 is the first bill that we have in which there is an entry for  
10 Translator TV, Inc. Now, can you tell, can you tell me why  
11 Translator TV, Inc., was added to this list?

12          A     Because we, we had done work for them for which we  
13 were billing.

14          Q     Now, Translator TV, TV, Inc., was a separate client  
15 from TBN?

16          A     Yes, sir.

17          Q     Why didn't you include Translator TV, Inc., in a  
18 bill that you sent to TBN?

19          A     I wanted to be paid.

20          Q     Well, that's, that's a good answer. Did you explore  
21 any more traditional methods of getting paid, like sending a  
22 bill directly to the client rather than to someone else?

23          A     That, that's what I did. I, I put an item down that  
24 I had done work for Translator TV, Inc., and sent it.

25          Q     But you didn't send it to Translator TV, Inc., did

1 you?

2 A Well, I sent it to Dr. Crouch at Trinity. I mean,  
3 at the time I knew that Trinity was in fact processing pay-  
4 ables and, and the like for Translator TV, Inc., and so I sent  
5 it accordingly.

6 Q But I thought Translator TV, Inc., hadn't been doing  
7 any business? How could TBN have been processing their, their  
8 accounts at that time?

9 A Well, I mean, I was aware that to the extent that  
10 they had business that indeed they were getting that process-  
11 ing done through Trinity's accountants.

12 Q How did you know that?

13 A Well, I, I was aware of it. I'd been out and been  
14 informed of that.

15 Q Can you turn to page 6 of your Direct Testimony;  
16 that's Trinity Exhibit 105? Now, do you see paragraph 10?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q I'd like to direct your attention to the last two  
19 sentences: "I separated, itemized NMTV and TBN..."

20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q Now, you say there that you, you separately itemized  
22 NMTV and TBN because you knew that TBN provided accounting  
23 services for NMTV, and that's what you've just testified to  
24 here, that, that you knew that.

25 A Yes, sir.

1 Q And when I asked you what your basis was for that,  
2 you said you just knew.

3 A Well, I -- I mean, I had been at -- in California  
4 and had see-- had essentially been informed that that was the  
5 case.

6 Q And you also say in the next line, "I also under-  
7 stood that TBN charged to NMTV the itemized billings of NMTV  
8 that it paid." How did you know that?

9 A Likewise, I was informed.

10 Q Who told you?

11 A I mean, I don't recall specifically, but I believe  
12 it must have been Mrs. Duff, since I communicated most of  
13 these matters with her, or it could have been Dr. Crouch,  
14 because I also talked about these matters with him.

15 Q Now, has that practice since changed, that is,  
16 including TTI or NMTV in your bill that goes directly to TBN?

17 A As I've stated earlier, beginning in August of 1992  
18 I have made it a point to make sure that I do send separate  
19 statements to National Minority. My proc-- my procedure now  
20 is to send a separate statement just listing NMTV items, but  
21 frankly it's -- I mean, it was information that was otherwise  
22 separately itemized on these collective bills, only this bill  
23 is now specifically addressed and sent only to Mrs. Duff. I  
24 still send bills to Trinity which includes most of these other  
25 itemed -- or these, these itemized items here, and I send

1 those to Dr. Crouch.

2 Q Why is CET on the list, on Exhibit -- Bureau Exhibit  
3 No. 105?

4 A For essentially the same kind of reasons we've just  
5 discussed. I'm aware that Trinity was providing certain  
6 services for Community Educational Television. And among  
7 those services were accounts payable, and so I sent my state-  
8 ment for payment.

9 Q Did you ever inquire of anyone at TTI: should I  
10 send the bill directly to TTI?

11 A I communicated with Mrs. Duff on the procedure and  
12 process that should be handled.

13 Q And she told you just send it to the TBN accounting  
14 department?

15 A It may have been me who said why don't I just in-  
16 clude it on this general statement, itemizing specifically  
17 what I've done, and I'll send it in, since I'm aware that  
18 Trinity is the one that's paying your accounts payable.

19 Q And it wasn't until this litigation that you changed  
20 that practice?

21 A In the wake of the challenges filed by Mr. Cohen's  
22 client, yes, sir, I changed that.

23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: What do you mean that last sen-  
24 tence, when you say TBN charged and, and TB -- the itemized  
25 billings of NMTV that it paid? What does that mean?

1 MR. MAY: I was aware that when TBN paid an item  
2 that was a bill of NMTV that it was then keeping a running  
3 ledger or a ledger of the amounts that were owed then by NMTV  
4 to TBN.

5 JUDGE CHACHKIN: In other words, you're not sug-  
6 gesting that TBN sent the bill over to NMTV to pay the amounts  
7 which had -- which it had paid to you? What you're suggesting  
8 is they kept a running ledger but didn't require NMTV to pay  
9 those amounts. Isn't that what -- that's what you're telling  
10 me?

11 MR. MAY: Well, yes, sir. And, and that could have  
12 happened in one of a couple of ways. They could have made a  
13 withdrawal straight from, I suppose -- well, I, I -- yes, sir.

14 JUDGE CHACHKIN: That's what you --

15 MR. MAY: The answer is --

16 JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- meant by it?

17 MR. MAY: Yes.

18 JUDGE CHACHKIN: That they were putting it in the  
19 ledger. Okay.

20 MR. MAY: Yes, sir.

21 BY MR. SCHONMAN:

22 Q Mr. May, in, in, in May and June 1986, which are the  
23 dates reflected in Bureau Exhibit No. 105, you were aware,  
24 were you not, that TTI had no bank account at that time in its  
25 own name?

1           A     I can't re-- I, I can't recall that I knew that or  
2 not.

3           Q     But that made no difference to you, whether you knew  
4 it or not as to whether you should send a bill directly to TTI  
5 or send TTI's bill to TBN?

6           A     No, sir.

7           Q     Mr. May, do you have any recollection as to the  
8 services that you provided for TTI which are reflected in this  
9 bill, Bureau Exhibit No. 105?

10          A     No, sir.

11          Q     Do you know if TTI was engaged in any business  
12 propositions at that time?

13          A     I don't recall what it was for.

14          Q     Could it have been related to the Odessa  
15 acquisition?

16          A     I don't, I don't know. I don't, I don't recall what  
17 it was for.

18          Q     Let's turn back in that same volume of the Bureau  
19 exhibits to Bureau Exhibit No. 64, and that's a letter from  
20 you to Mrs. Duff at TBN dated August 9, 1983.

21          A     Did you say Bureau Exhibit 74?

22          Q     64.

23          A     64. Yes, sir. I have it.

24          Q     In the second paragraph, the first sentence, you  
25 state, "In addition I'm enclosing a copy of this month's Tri-

1 state Christian TV bill." Do you see that?

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q What is that all about?

4 A At the time, there was business being conducted  
5 between Tri-State Christian and Trinity, and I had billed  
6 Trinity for some of the charges that should have been billed  
7 to Tri-State, and I was simply correcting it and I was showing  
8 her that I had likewise corrected it on the Tri-State state-  
9 ment as well.

10 Q What was the nature of the business, if you can  
11 recall?

12 A I don't know -- I don't really recall. I mean, I  
13 have the sense it could have been in one of two or three  
14 areas, but I honestly don't recall.

15 Q What is Tri-State Christian TV?

16 A Tri-- it's a broadcast company that operates tele-  
17 vision stations.

18 Q TBN affiliates?

19 A Yes, sir, they are.

20 Q Let's flip ahead to Bureau Exhibit No. 68, which is  
21 another bill from your firm to TBN, and this is for services  
22 rendered in November and December 1983. Do you see the refer-  
23 ence to Community Educational Television?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q Was CET billed at the same rate that TBN and the

1 other companies on this list were billed at?

2 A Yes, sir. I believe so.

3 Q And that -- was that generally the case when you  
4 billed TTI and later NMTV? Were, were those companies billed  
5 at the same rate that TBN and, and the so-called TBN affili-  
6 ates were billed at?

7 A Yes, sir.

8 Q Did your firm have a retainer agreement with TBN?

9 A We had a letter that we had generated that set forth  
10 what our understanding was. In, in terms of it being signed  
11 by anybody from the client's side, it was not, so I don't know  
12 if you'd call that an agreement. But we sent a statement -- a  
13 letter out trying to capture what we expected and what we  
14 understood.

15 Q Was, was that that, that letter that we saw that,  
16 that was sent in May of 1983 or, or something else you're  
17 referring to?

18 A I think it was sent a little later than that. I  
19 think the May of '83 statement you showed me was a three-month  
20 advance, if you will, that I had been able to obtain. There  
21 was a subsequent letter -- there's a subsequent letter, I  
22 believe, on the, on the point.

23 Q Did you ever have a retainer agreement with TTI or  
24 NMTV?

25 A Yes, sir. The same kind of -- an agreement, if you

1 will. We sent out a letter memorializing what we were doing,  
2 what we expected.

3 Q When was the letter sent out for TTI?

4 A It -- there was one that was sent out later, which  
5 seems to me it was sometime in '89. Yes, '89.

6 Q That was -- well, by that time it was NMTV?

7 A Yes, sir.

8 Q So, that was the first time that you had sent such a  
9 letter regarding a retainer with NMTV/TTI?

10 A And it, it -- right, memorializing our understand-  
11 ing, if you will. Yes, sir, that's, that's the first time.  
12 Other than, I guess you could say, statements that we sent  
13 which were otherwise processed and come back. I suppose you  
14 could say those were an agreement as well.

15 Q With whom did you negotiate any retainer agreements  
16 when you entered into a retainer agreement with NMTV or TTI?

17 A Dr. Crouch.

18 Q Now, why didn't you enter into this agreement with  
19 Mrs. Duff if she was involved in the day-to-day operations of  
20 NMTV?

21 A She was part of the process, but I sent this -- the,  
22 the letter to Dr. Crouch and talked to him about it.

23 Q Was that part of a -- of TBN's retainer, or was it  
24 entirely separate?

25 A There was a letter that I sent that indicated that I

1 would be doing work at certain rates for certain companies,  
2 and among the companies were Trinity and its, its affiliated  
3 companies, and that it mentioned: and National Minority. And  
4 there was also another company mentioned as well.

5 Q When you sent out these bills to Trinity which  
6 included the so-called Trinity affiliates, CET and NMTV or  
7 TTI, as the case may be. Did you receive one check in re-  
8 sponse to that bill?

9 A Sometimes we did; sometimes we did not. Sometimes  
10 we would receive separate checks, and sometimes we would  
11 receive a single check. And in each of those instances, it  
12 was a TBN check.

13 Q And in the instances when you received multiple  
14 checks, from whose accounts would those checks be drawn?

15 A Well, I have specific memory that there were times  
16 when we got a check from TBN and we got a check for Community  
17 Educational Television and also one from National Minority.

18 Q You do recall getting one from National Minority?

19 A Yes, sir.

20 Q And that was in an instance when you had billed TBN  
21 collectively?

22 A When, when it -- I mean, I always billed them sep--  
23 I mean, they were always a separate item. It wasn't as if  
24 we're -- yes. I mean, it was the consolidated bill statement  
25 I would send out, which would then list each of the itemized

1 accounts for which we had done work.

2 Q And when was the first time that you received a  
3 separate check from NMTV?

4 A I don't, I don't recall.

5 Q Was it in the 1990s?

6 A I, I don't recall. We have received them in the  
7 '90s. Whether or not it didn't also occur in the '80s, I, I  
8 honestly don't recall.

9 (Pause.)

10 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Are you moving into another area or  
11 you have something more on this?

12 MR. SCHONMAN: I was going to move into another  
13 area.

14 JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Then we'll be recessed  
15 till 9:30 tomorrow morning.

16 (Whereupon, at 3:55 p.m. on Tuesday, December 21,  
17 1993, the hearing was adjourned until 9:30 a.m. Wednesday,  
18 December 22, 1993.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER**

IN THE MATTER OF TRINITY BROADCASTING OF FLORIDA, INC.  
**Name** AND GLENDALE BROADCASTING COMPANY

MM DOCKET NO. 93-75  
**Docket No.**

WASHINGTON, D.C.  
**Place**

DECEMBER 21, 1993  
**Date**

We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 3128 through 3323, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the reporting by BARBARA J. LORD in attendance at the above identified proceeding, in accordance with applicable provisions of the current Federal Communications Commission's professional verbatim reporting and transcription Statement of Work and have verified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing the typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the proceeding and (2) comparing the final proofed typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the proceeding.

January 4, 1994  
**Date**

Diana J. Hallman  
Diana J. Hallman, Transcriber  
Free State Reporting, Inc.

January 5, 1994  
**Date**

Diane S. Windell  
Diane S. Windell, Proofreader  
Free State Reporting, Inc.

January 5, 1994  
**Date**

Barbara J. Lord  
Barbara J. Lord, Reporter  
Free State Reporting, Inc.

**FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.**  
Court Reporting Depositions  
D.C. Area 261-1902  
Balt. & Annap. 974-0947